

# Amplifiers Exploiting Thermal Noise Canceling: A Review

Eric A.M. Klumperink<sup>1</sup>, Federico Bruccoli<sup>2</sup>, Peter Stroet<sup>3</sup>, Bram Nauta<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Twente, MESA+ Research Institute, IC Design group, PO box 217, 7500AE Enschede, The Netherlands, <sup>2</sup> Catena Microelectronics BV, Delft, The Netherlands <sup>3</sup> Linear Technology, Milpitas, USA

**Abstract** — Wide-band LNAs suffer from a fundamental trade-off between noise figure NF and source impedance matching, which limits NF to values typically above 3dB. Recently, a feed-forward noise canceling technique has been proposed to break this trade-off. This paper reviews the principle of the technique and its key properties. Although the technique has been applied to wideband CMOS LNAs, it can just as well be implemented exploiting transconductance elements realized with other types of transistors. To exemplify this claim, measurement results on a bipolar amplifier exploiting noise cancellation will also be presented.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Wide-band Low-Noise Amplifiers (LNAs) are used in receiving systems where the ratio between the bandwidth and its center frequency can be as large as two, for instance in analog cable TV (50-850 MHz), and satellite (950-2150 MHz) or terrestrial digital (450-850 MHz) video broadcasting. Moreover, a wide-band low-noise amplifier can replace several LC-tuned LNAs in multi-band or multi-mode narrow-band receivers. A wide-band solution saves chip-area and fits better to the trend towards flexible radios with as much signal processing (e.g.: channel selection, image rejection, etc.) as possible in the digital domain (towards “software radio”).

High-sensitivity integrated receivers require LNAs with sufficiently large gain, noise figure NF well below 3dB, adequate linearity and source impedance matching  $Z_{IN}=R_S$ . The latter is to avoid signal reflections on a cable or alterations of the characteristics of the RF filter preceding the LNA, such as pass-band ripple and stop-band attenuation. Fig.1a-d shows well-known wide-band amplifiers capable of matching a real source impedance  $R_S$ . These amplifiers suffer from a fundamental trade-off between their noise factor F ( $NF=10\log_{10}(F)$ ) and impedance matching,  $Z_{IN}=R_S$ . Assuming large gain, low F requires a large  $g_{mi}$  or  $R_i$ . However, impedance matching demands a fixed  $g_{mi}=1/R_S$  or  $R_i=R_S$ . Modeling transistors as a transconductance  $g_m$ , and assuming current noise spectral density  $4kT \cdot NEF \cdot g_m$ , analysis renders [4]:

$$F_{LNA} \geq 1 + NEF \quad (1)$$

NEF for a long channel MOSFET is theoretically 2/3, but for a practical deep-submicron MOSFET between 1 and 2, whereas resistive degeneration of a transconductor results in  $NEF=1$ . Thus, such wideband MOSFET LNAs are limited to  $F>2$  or  $NF>3dB$ , even for high gain.

To be best of our knowledge, only amplifiers exploiting global negative feedback (shunt-feedback) can break this trade-off between NF and impedance matching, but they are prone to instability [1]. In contrast, we propose a feed-forward thermal-noise canceling technique enabling low NF and source impedance matching, without

instability problems [2,3,4]. In earlier work [5,6], LNA circuits with partial noise cancellation have been found, via systematic circuit topology generation [7]. However, those circuits still have constraints on NF upon  $Z_{IN}=R_S$ . In contrast, the technique presented in this paper can, at least in principle, achieve arbitrarily low NF, at the cost of power consumption. This paper reviews the basis of the technique and discusses its key properties. Furthermore several examples of circuits exploiting full or partial noise cancellation will be given.




Fig. 1. Well-known wide-band LNAs capable of impedance matching for  $R_i=R_S$  or  $g_{mi}=1/R_S$  (biasing not shown).

The paper is organized as follows. Section II reviews the principle of the noise canceling technique, while section III discusses its key properties and limitations. Section IV shows some practical examples of amplifiers exploiting noise cancellation. Finally, section V draws conclusions.

## II. NOISE CANCELING PRINCIPLE

To understand the principle of noise canceling, consider the amplifier stage of fig. 1c redrawn in fig. 2. Its input impedance is  $Z_{IN}=1/g_{mi}$  and the voltage gain is  $A_{VF,MS}=V_Y/V_X=1-g_{mi}R$  where the index “MS” refers to the matching stage in fig.1c. For  $Z_{IN}=R_S$  its F is larger than  $1+NEF$ , as discussed in the previous section. Let’s now analyze the signal and the noise voltages at the input node X and output node Y, both with respect to ground, due to the noise current  $I_{n,i}$  of the impedance matching MOSFET. Depending on the relation between  $Z_{IN}=1/g_{mi}$  and  $R_S$ , a noise current  $\alpha(R_S, g_{mi}) \cdot I_{n,i}$ , flows out of the matching MOSFET through R and  $R_S$  (fig. 2a), with  $0<\alpha<1$ . This current causes two instantaneous noise voltages at nodes X and Y, which have equal sign. On the other hand, the signal voltages at nodes X and Y have opposite sign (fig. 2b), because the gain  $A_{VF,MS}$  is negative, assuming  $g_{mi}R>1$ . This *difference in sign for noise and signal* makes it possible to *cancel the noise* of the matching device, while simultaneously *adding the signal* contributions constructively. This is done by creating a new output, where the voltage at node Y is added to a scaled negative replica of the voltage at node X. A proper value for this scaling factor renders noise

canceling at the output node, for the thermal noise originating from the matching device.




Fig. 2 Matching MOSFET noise (a) and signal (b) voltage at nodes X and Y for the amplifier in fig. 1c (biasing not shown).

Fig. 3a shows a straightforward implementation using an ideal feed-forward voltage amplifier “A” with a gain  $-A_v$  (with  $A_v > 0$ ). By circuit inspection, the matching device noise voltages at node X and Y are:

$$V_{X,n,i} = \alpha(R_S, g_{mi}) \cdot I_{n,i} R_S = \alpha \cdot I_{n,i} R_S \quad (2)$$

$$V_{Y,n,i} = \alpha \cdot I_{n,i} (R_S + R)$$

The output noise voltage due to the noise of the matching device,  $V_{OUT,n,i}$  is then equal to:

$$V_{OUT,n,i} = V_{Y,n,i} - V_{X,n,i} \cdot A_v = \alpha \cdot I_{n,i} (R + R_S - A_v R_S) \quad (3)$$

Output noise cancellation,  $V_{OUT,n,i} = 0$ , is achieved for a gain  $A_v$  equal to:

$$A_{v,c} = \frac{V_{Y,n,i}}{V_{X,n,i}} = 1 + \frac{R}{R_S} \quad (4)$$

where the index “c” denotes the cancellation. On the other hand, signal components along the two paths add constructively, leading to an overall gain (assuming  $Z_{IN} = 1/g_{mi} = R_S$  and  $A_v = A_{v,c}$ ):

$$A_{vF,c} = \frac{V_{OUT}}{V_X} = 1 - g_{mi} R - A_{v,c} = -g_{mi} R - \frac{R}{R_S} = -2 \frac{R}{R_S} \quad (5)$$

From equation (4), two characteristics of noise canceling are evident:

1. Noise canceling depends on the absolute value of the *real* impedance of the source,  $R_S$  (e.g.: the impedance seen “looking into” a terminated coax cable).
2. The cancellation is independent on  $\alpha(R_S, g_{mi})$  and on the quality of the source impedance match. This is because any change of  $g_{mi}$  equally affects the noise voltages  $V_{X,n,i}$  and  $V_{Y,n,i}$ .

Fig. 3b shows a simple implementation of the noise-canceling LNA in fig. 3a. Amplifier “A” and the adder are replaced with the common-source stage M2-M3, rendering an output voltage equal to the voltage at node X times the gain  $A_v = g_{m2}/g_{m3}$ . Transistor M3 also acts as a source follower, copying the voltage at node Y to the output. The superposition principle renders the addition of voltages with an overall gain  $A_{vF} = 1 - g_{mi} R_S - g_{m2}/g_{m3}$ . Note that *any* small signal that can be modeled by a current source between the drain and source of the matching device is cancelled too (e.g.:  $1/f$  noise, thermal noise of the distributed gate resistance and the bias noise-current injected into node Y and even distortion terms). However the noise of R is not cancelled. This can be seen

splitting its noise current  $I_{n,Ri}$  in two correlated sources to ground, at the output node Y and the input node X. The former is cancelled for  $A_v = A_{v,c}$ , the latter is not.




Fig. 3. (a) Wide-band LNA exploiting noise-canceling, (b) Elementary implementation of amplifier “A” plus adder (biasing not shown).

### III. PROPERTIES AND LIMITATIONS

We will now discuss some key properties of noise cancellation, starting with the achievable noise factor.

#### A. Noise factor

The noise factor F of the LNA in fig. 3a can be written as:

$$F = 1 + EF_{MD} + EF_R + EF_A \quad (6)$$

where the “excess noise factor” EF is used to quantify the contribution of different devices to F, and index MD refers to the matching device, R to the resistor R, and A to amplifier “A”. For the implementation in fig. 3b, expressions for EF for  $Z_{IN} = R_S$ , assuming equal NEF, and cancellation for  $A_v = A_{v,c}$  become:

$$EF_{MD,c} = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$EF_{R,c} = -\frac{2}{A_{vF,c}} = \frac{R_S}{R}$$

$$EF_A = \frac{NEF}{g_{m2}} \left( \frac{1}{R_S} + \frac{3}{R} + \frac{2R_S}{R^2} \right)$$

The noise factor at cancellation,  $F_c$ , is thus only determined by  $EF_{A,c}$  and  $EF_{R,c}$ , which are both not constrained by the matching requirement.  $EF_{A,c}$  can be made arbitrarily smaller than 1 by increasing  $g_{m2}$  of its input stage, at the price of power dissipation. The minimum achievable  $F_c$  is now determined by  $EF_{R,c}$ . The latter can also be significantly smaller than 1 when the gain  $|A_{vF,c}|$  is large, which is desired anyhow for an LNA. In practical design,  $F_c$  can be lowered below 2 (i.e. 3dB) by increasing  $g_{m2} R_S$  until it saturates to  $F_{c,min} = 1 + EF_{R,c} = 1 + R_S/R$ .

#### B. Robustness for component spread

The noise canceling technique is relatively robust to device parameter variations. The cancellation depends only on a *reduced* set of device parameters. For instance,

the impedance from node Y to ground  $Z_Y$  (e.g.:  $g_d$  of the matching device), the load  $Z_L$  (e.g.:  $g_d$  and  $g_{mb}$  of M3) and  $g_{mi}$  of the matching device in fig. 3b do *not* affect the cancellation because they “load” the two feed-forward paths in the same fashion. On the other hand, any deviation of the source resistance  $R_S$  and the gain  $A_V$  from their nominal values  $R_{S,NOM}$  and  $A_{V,c}$  affect the cancellation, as shown by equation (3). For a typical practical case with  $NEF=1.5$  and  $A_{V,c}=7$ ,  $\delta R_S/R_{S,NOM}$  and  $\delta A_V/A_{V,c}$  as large as  $\pm 20\%$  are needed in order to rise  $EF_{MD}$  to only 0.1 [4], one tenth of the contribution of the input source. Thus, the sensitivity to variations of  $R_S$  and the gain  $A_V$  is low.

### C. Advantages compared to negative feedback

As shown in the previous section, the noise canceling technique is capable of NF well below 3dB upon  $Z_{IN}=R_S$ . Similar noise performance could also be achieved exploiting shunt-feedback. However, noise cancellation offers several advantages:

- It is a feed-forward technique *free* of global feedback, so instability risks are greatly relaxed.
- To first order,  $Z_{IN}$  depends only on  $g_{mi}$ . Thus,  $Z_{IN}$  is less sensitive to process spread.
- Implementing variable-gain at  $Z_{IN}=R_S$  is more straightforward due to the orthogonality between the gain  $A_{VF}$  and  $Z_{IN}$  (changing the value of R and Av changes the gain, but not  $Z_{IN}$ ).

Furthermore, it can be shown [3] that simultaneous noise and power matching is achieved.

### D. Frequency dependence of noise cancellation

Parasitic capacitors not only limit the signal bandwidth but also degrade noise cancellation at high frequencies. The simplified case of fig. 3b with  $C_Y=C_L=0$  appears to be adequate to model the main trend. Here,  $C_{IN}$  accounts for the parasitic capacitance contributed to the input node mainly by the matching device and amplifier “A”. This simple model is realistic because: (a)  $C_Y$  and the load  $C_L$  in fig. 3b do not affect the cancellation and (b)  $C_L$  does not affect the F of the LNA standalone. The noise current  $\alpha \cdot I_{n,i}$  flowing out from the matching device “sees” a complex source impedance  $Z_S(f) = R_S / (1 + j2\pi f C_{IN})$  as shown in fig. 3b. In this case, the output noise due to the matching device,  $V_{OUT,n,i}(f)$ , is obtained replacing  $R_S$  with  $Z_S(f)$ , resulting in a frequency dependent noise factor,  $F_c(f)$ , which can be written as:

$$F_c(f) = F_c + (F_c - 1 + NEF) \cdot (f/f_0)^2 \quad (8)$$

where  $F_c$  is the low-frequency noise factor as given in (6) and  $f_0 = 1/(\pi \cdot R_S \cdot C_{IN})$  is the input pole. For  $F_c$  smaller than  $1+NEF$ ,  $F_c(f)-F_c$  increases with  $f/f_0$  mainly because the cancellation degrades.

## IV. PRACTICAL CIRCUIT EXAMPLES

### A. Wide-band Noise Cancellation LNA

A wide-band LNA according to the concept of fig. 3b was designed in a 0.25 $\mu$ m standard CMOS process. Table 1 summarizes the achieved performance and fig.4 shows the simulated and the calculated noise figure using

formula (8). The measured NF is below 2.4dB over more than one decade (150-2000 MHz) and below 2dB over more than 2 octaves (250-1100 MHz). At low frequency, NF rises due to a AC-coupling high-pass filter.




Fig. 4. Noise Figure [dB] as a function of frequency for the CMOS LNA [2,4].

$A_{VF,TOT} =  V_{OUT}/V_{SI} $	13.7dB
-3dB Bandwidth	2 MHz -1600 MHz
$ S_{12} $	<-36dB in 10-1800 MHz
$ S_{11} $	<-8dB in 10-1800 MHz
$ S_{22} $	<-12dB in 10-1800 MHz
IIP3 (Input Ref.)	0dBm ( $f_1=900$ MHz & $f_2=905$ MHz)
IIP2 (Input Ref.)	12dBm ( $f_1=300$ MHz & $f_2=200$ MHz)
ICP1dB (Input Ref.)	-9dBm ( $f_1=900$ MHz)
NF <sub>50</sub>	<= 2dB in 250-1100 MHz <= 2.4dB in 150-2000 MHz
$I_{DD}@V_{DD}$	14mA@2.5Volt
Area	0.3x0.25 mm <sup>2</sup>
Technology	0.25 $\mu$ m CMOS

Table. 1. Performance summary of the CMOS LNA [2,4].

### B. Other Noise Cancellation Configurations

The concept of noise canceling can be generalized to other circuit topologies according to the model shown in fig. 5a. It consists of the following functional blocks: (a) An amplifier stage providing the source impedance matching,  $Z_{IN}=R_S$ . (b) An auxiliary amplifier *sensing* the voltage (signal and noise) across the real input source. (c) A network combining the output of the two amplifiers, such that noise from the matching device cancels while signal contributions add. Fig. 5b shows one of the possible implementations [3]. Noise cancellation occurs for  $R_1=g_{m2}R_S R_2$ , while low F requires high  $g_{m2}$ .




Fig. 5. Generalized noise cancellation concept and one possible alternative circuit implementation.

### C. Bipolar LNA

As stated in the previous subsection, noise cancellation can also be exploited in other technologies. To exemplify this claim, a bipolar LNA will be discussed. The LNA was designed in a 15GHz industrial bipolar process, aiming for IF-applications in the 10-100MHz range. Fig. 6 show the core of the LNA and table 2 summarizes its performance. Measurements were done using two single-ended amplifier to create a quasi-balanced amplifier, where transformers were used as baluns. The loss of the transformers degrades noise performance slightly, but still a noise figure below 2dB is achieved.




Fig. 6. LNA of fig. 3b implemented with bipolar transistors.

Gain (S21) @20MHz	20 dB
-3 dB Frequency roll-off	324 MHz
S11 @20MHz	-30 dB
Noise Figure @20MHz	1.53 dB
Output IP3 (100,100.1MHz)	+16 dBm
Output IP2 (100, 200MHz)	+47 dBm
Current consumption	16mA
Chip size	478umx500um

Table. 1. Performance summary of the Bipolar LNA in fig. 6.

### D. Wide-band Variable Gain LNA

Even partial noise cancellation can result in advantages, as exemplified by the “Amp1” topology shown in the low part of fig.7.




Fig. 7. Noise figure as a function of gain at all systematically generated 2-NMOS-transistor LNAs [5,6,7].

Compared to three other wide-band LNAs, which are systematically generated [5,6,7], its noise performance is remarkable. Even though the minimum noise figure is not below 3dB, it is relatively small compared to the other

LNAs operating at the same gain. Detailed analysis [5,7] shows that this is due to (partial) noise cancellation of the noise of the common gate device (note that there are again two paths to the output, one via the common gate device  $M_a$ , one via the common drain device  $M_b$ ). Moreover, the noise figure is almost independent of the gain, which is useful in LNAs requiring variable gain. Measurements on an LNA realized in a 0.35 $\mu$ m standard CMOS process show  $NF < 4.4$  dB for 6-11 dB gain, very good linearity ( $IIP3 = 15$  dBm) at only 1.5 mA current consumption [6].

### V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, noise canceling was reviewed as a circuit technique, which is able to break the trade-off between noise factor  $F$  and source impedance matching. This is done placing an auxiliary voltage-sensing amplifier in feed-forward to the matching stage such that the noise from the matching device cancels at the output, while adding signal contributions. In this way, one can minimize the LNA noise figure, at the price of power dissipation in the auxiliary amplifier. By using this technique in an LNA, low noise figures over a wide range of frequencies can be achieved, without the instability issues that are typically associated with wide-band negative feedback amplifiers.

Other attractive assets of the technique are:

- Simultaneous cancellation of noise and distortion terms due to the matching device.
- Robustness to variations in device parameters and the external source resistance  $R_S$ .
- Simultaneous noise and power matching.
- Orthogonality of design parameters for input impedance and gain, allowing easier implementation of variable gain at constant input match.
- Applicability in other IC technologies and amplifier topologies.

### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Janssens, M. Steyaert and H. Miyakawa, “A 2.7Volt CMOS Broad band Low Noise Amplifier”, Dig. of Tech. paper, *Symposium of VLSI Circuits*, pp. 87-88, June 1997.
- [2] F. Bruccoleri, E.A.M. Klumperink and B. Nauta, “Noise Cancelling in Wideband CMOS LNAs”, *Proceedings of the IEEE ISSCC*, Vol. 45, Section 24.6, pp. 406-407, San Francisco, CA, USA, February 2002.
- [3] F. Bruccoleri, “Wide-band CMOS Low-Noise Amplifiers” *Ph.D. Thesis, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands*, to be published in 2003.
- [4] F. Bruccoleri, E.A.M. Klumperink, B. Nauta, “Wide-Band CMOS Low-Noise Amplifier Exploiting Thermal-Noise Canceling”, *IEEE Journal of Solid-State Circuits*, Vol. 39, No. 2, pp. 275 -282, February 2004.
- [5] E.A.M. Klumperink, “Transconductance based CMOS Circuits: Generation, Classification and Analysis”, *Ph.D. Thesis, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands*, 1997.
- [6] F. Bruccoleri, E. A. M. Klumperink and B. Nauta, “Generating All 2-MOS Transistors Amplifiers Leads to New Wide-Band LNAs”, *IEEE Journal Solid-State Circuits*, vol. 36, pp. 1032-1040, July 2001.
- [7] E. A. M. Klumperink F. Bruccoleri and B. Nauta, “Finding All Elementary Circuit Exploiting Transconductance”, *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems-II*, Vol. 48, No. 11, pp. 1039-1053, Nov. 2001.